Characterisation of Demography and Comorbidity in Newly Diagnosed Multiple Myeloma Patients in the UK

Filipa Tunaru MPhil¹, Joseph O'Reilly PhD¹, Alycia Perkins MSc¹, Jamie Wallis PhD¹, and Lewis Carpenter PhD¹

[1] Arcturis Data, Building One, Oxford Technology Park, Technology Drive, Kidlington, OX5 1GN, UK

Introduction **Objectives**

- There is an increased risk of comorbidity in people with MM due to their advanced age at diagnosis [1].
- A patient's comorbidities are known to have a significant impact on treatment decisions such as the dosing of chemotherapy and suitability for autologous stem cell transplantation [2].
- Heavily **comorbid patients are underrepresented** in clinical trials, therefore more RWE evidence studies are needed to improve understanding of this patient group [3].
- We look towards a real-world data cohort of adult newly diagnosed MM (NDMM) patients to assess the levels of comorbidity in newly diagnosed patients and their survival.
- **Describe the baseline characteristics** of the NDMM cohort, including assessment of comorbidity using the Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI).
- Compare overall survival between patients with no significant comorbidity at the point of diagnosis (CCI = 0); patients with a mild/moderate comorbidity profile (CCI = 1-2) and patients with a more sever comorbidity status (CCI = 3+), over a 10-year follow up period.

Methods

. Patients with MM (ICD-10 code: C90.0) were retrospectively identified between 2013 and 2023 from the Arcturis UK dataset

(Figure 2) using de-identified secondary care electronic health records

2. Identify newly diagnosed MM patients using the inclusion/exclusion criteria described in Figure 1.

3. Impute birthdate where partially missing. Here a missing month was set as June and a missing day set as 1st of month.

4. Analyse patient non-MM comorbidity score (CCI) based on the 3 years prior to each patient's primary MM diagnosis.

5. Stratify patients based on comorbidity score. Categories are: CCI = 0, CCI = 1-2 and CCI = 3+.

6. Assess median survival over a maximum 10 year follow-up and plot Kaplan Meier survival plots.



Figure 1. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria, as applied, to generate the UK NDMM Cohort.

Results



SA4

Figure 2. Types of data included in the Arcturis UK dataset.

Demographic Characterisation of NDMM Cohort

Variable	Units	Result
Ν		1722
Male	N (%)	757 (44.0)

Distribution of Comorbidity in NDMM Cohort

6 (0.35%)

23 (1.34%)

22 (1.28%)

<5 events

Mild Hepatic Disease

HIV/AIDS

Dementia

Metastatic Solid Tumou

Congestive Heart Failure

Cerebrovascular Disease

Any Malignanc

Chronic Pulmonary Disease

Diabetes Mellitus with Chronic Complication

Diabetes Mellitus w/o Complications



41 (2.38%)

47 (2.73%)

100

Frequency

39 (2.26%)

50

10-Year Survival by CCI Group



Figure 3. Summary of Comorbidity in NDMM cohort. Comorbid diagnoses are from the 3 years prior to MM Diagnosis date and are grouped into CCI categories. Note: cancer diagnosis are only captured 3 years - 6 months prior to MM diagnosis.

• The most prevalent CCI category amongst NDMM patients is **Diabetes Mellitus** without complications (11.09%), followed closely by **Renal Disease** (10.92%).

• The CCI Category with fewest patients is HIV/AIDS.

Figure 4. Kaplan-Meier Plot of 10-Year Overall Survival by CCI Group.

• Median Survival time for the CCI = 0 group was 8.39 years, compared to **7.02 years** for patients with for CCI = 1-2 and **6.02 years** for patients with CCI = 3+.

Conclusions

A UK cohort of NDMM patients is available from the UK Arcturis Dataset, which can provide insight into the demographic characteristics and the distribution of comorbidities in this patient group.

191 (11.09%)

150

156 (9.06%)

200

99 (5.75%)

Patients in the NDMM cohort have higher rates of Congestive Heart Failure, Renal Disease, Diabetes (both with and without complications), Chronic Pulmonary Disease and any malignancy when compared to the general UK population [5].

Age at Diagnosis (Mean (SD))	Years	68.5 (11.6)
Ethnicity		
White	N (%)	1311 (76.1)
Not Stated	N (%)	195 (11.3)
Black or Black British	N (%)	33 (1.9)
Mixed	N (%)	86 (5.0)
Unknown	N (%)	97 (5.6)
Asian or Asian British	N (%)	0 (0)
CCI at Diagnosis		
0	N (%)	1096 (63.6)
1	N (%)	293 (17.0)
2	N (%)	156 (9.1)
3+	N (%)	177 (10.3)

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of Demographics in NDMM Cohort.

- From a total of 4,493 total patients with MM, we were able to successfully identify a cohort of **1,722 NDMM patients**.
- Mean age at diagnosis of 68.5 years echoes previous studies that suggest most MM cases are diagnoses between the ages of 65 and 75 [4].

- The most common ethnicity within the cohort is white, followed by a high proportion of patients whose ethnicity is "Not Stated".
- Most patients in the cohort (63.6%) have **no significant comorbidity (CC1 = 0)**, at time of initial diagnosis. However, there is a **significant proportion (10.3%) that have a high** comorbid disease burden, as indicated by a CCI of 3+.
- Investigation of 10-year overall survival across three levels of comorbidity severity indicates that patients with a more severe comorbidity burden at the time of diagnosis with MM tend to have poorer survival outcomes and live on average for nearly 2.5 years less than patients with no comorbidity.

Acknowledgements

This work uses data provided by patients and collected by the NHS as part of their care and support. We believe using patient data is vital to improve health and care for everyone and would, thus, like to thank all those involved for their contribution.

References

[1] Fiala MA, Foley NC, Zweegman S, et al.. The characteristics, treatment patterns, and outcomes of older adults aged 80 and over with multiple myeloma. J Geriatr Oncol. 2020;11:1274-1278.

[2] Palumbo A, Bringhen S, Mateos MV, Larocca A, Facon T, Kumar SK, et al. Geriatric assessment predicts survival and toxicities in elderly myeloma: an International Myeloma Working Group report. Blood. 2015;125:2068-74.

[3] Chari A, Romanus D, Palumbo A et al (2020) Randomized clinical trial representativeness and outcomes in real-world patients: comparison of 6 hallmark randomized clinical trials of relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk 20:8-17.e16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clml.2019.09.625

[4] Dempsey JL, Johns A, Rosko AE, Lazarus HM (2019) The pharmacologic management of multiple myeloma in older adults. Expert Opin Pharmacother 20:887-902. https://doi.org/10.1080/14656566.2019.1577822

[5] Brilleman, S.L., Purdy, S., Salisbury, C., Windmeijer, F., Gravelle, H. and Hollinghurst, S. (2013). Implications of comorbidity for primary care costs in the UK: a retrospective observational study. British Journal of General Practice, [online] 63(609), pp.e274–e282. doi:https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp13x665242.

